



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,399	01/05/2006	Alan Berry	21841USWO(C038435/0196234	3243

7590 08/01/2008
Stephen M Haracz
Bryan Cave
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104

EXAMINER

RAGHU, GANAPATHIRAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1652

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,399	Applicant(s) BERRY ET AL.	
	Examiner GANAPATHIRAMA RAGHU	Art Unit 1652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GANAPATHIRAMA RAGHU. (3) Jihong Zang.
 (2) Rebecca Prouty. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) obviousness rejection were discussed. The Office indicated that cited references fully support a prima facie case for "Obviousness" rejection and would certainly consider any affidavit or arguments towards support for unexpected results..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ganapathirama Raghu/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required